

## © Copyright Statement

All rights reserved. All material in this document is, unless otherwise stated, the property of **FPC International, Inc.** Copyright and other intellectual property laws protect these materials. Reproduction or retransmission of the materials, in whole or in part, in any manner, without the prior written consent of the copyright holder, is a violation of copyright law.

# **EVALUATION OF FPC-1<sup>®</sup> FUEL PERFORMANCE CATALYST**

**AT**

**Magma Copper, Pinto Valley Mine, Globe, Arizona**

Report Prepared by

**UHI CORPORATION  
PROVO, UT  
and  
MAGMA COPPER  
GLOBE, AZ**

May 18, 1994

## CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
EQUIPMENT	3
TEST INSTRUMENTS	3
TEST PROCEDURE	4
DISCUSSION	5
CONCLUSION	7
APPENDICES:	8

Carbon Balance Method Technical Approach

Computer Printouts and Calculation of Engine Performance  
Factors (Exhaust Mass Flow Rates).

Figure 1 (Carbon Balance Formulae)

Figure 2 (Sample Calculation)

Raw Data Work Sheets

## INTRODUCTION

FPC-1<sup>®</sup> is a combustion catalyst which, when added to liquid hydrocarbon fuels at a ratio of 1:5000, improves the combustion reaction resulting in increased engine efficiency and reduced fuel consumption. The products of incomplete combustion are also positively affected.

Field and laboratory tests alike indicate a potential to reduce fuel consumption in diesel fleets in the range of 5% to 10%. Smoke and carbon monoxide emissions are typically reduced 15 to 30%. This report summarizes the results of controlled back-to-back field tests conducted by UHI Corporation and Magma Copper at the Pinto Valley Mine, Globe, Arizona, with and without FPC-1<sup>®</sup> added to the diesel fuel. The fuel consumption determination procedure applied was the Carbon Balance Exhaust Emission Test at a given engine load and speed. This same method also measures the exhaust concentrations of carbon monoxide and unburned hydrocarbons. Smoke testing was conducted using the Bacharach Smokemeter method.

## EQUIPMENT TESTED

7 x Haulpak 685E, 190 ton haul trucks powered by 16V149 DT engines.

## TEST INSTRUMENTS:

The equipment and instruments involved in the carbon balance test program were:

Sun Electric SGA-9000 non-dispersive, infrared analyzer (NDIR) for measuring the exhaust gas constituents, HC (unburned hydrocarbons as hexane gas), CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub>.

Scott Specialty BAR 90 calibration gases for SGA-9000 internal calibration of the SGA-9000.

A Fluke Model 51 type "k" thermometer and wet/dry probe for measuring exhaust, fuel, and ambient temperature.

A Dwyer magnehelic and pitot tube for exhaust pressure differential measurement and exhaust air flow determination (CFM).

A hand held photo tachometer for engine speed (rpm) determination where dash mounted tachometers are not available.

A Bacharach True-Spot smokespot meter to determine the density of exhaust smoke from diesel engines.

A hydrometer for fuel specific gravity (density) measurement.

A Hewlett Packard Model 42S programmable calculator for the calculation of the engine performance factors.

A Snap On throttle control for setting and holding engine speed at a fixed rpm.



# TEST PROCEDURE

## 1. Carbon Balance

The carbon balance technique for determining changes in fuel consumption has been recognized by the US Environment Protection Agency (EPA) since 1973 and is central to the EPA-Federal Test Procedures (FTP) and Highway Fuel Economy Test (HFET). The method relies upon the measurement of vehicle exhaust emissions to determine fuel consumption rather than direct measurement (volumetric or gravimetric) of fuel consumption.

The application of the carbon balance test method utilized in this study involves the measurement of exhaust gases of a stationary vehicle under steady-state engine conditions. The method produces a value of engine fuel consumption with FPC-1° relative to a baseline value established with the same vehicle.

Engine speed and load are duplicated from test to test, and measurements of carbon containing exhaust gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, HC), oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), exhaust and ambient temperature, and exhaust and ambient pressure are made. A minimum of five readings are taken for each of the above parameters after engine stabilization has taken place (rpm, and exhaust, oil, and water temperature have stabilized). The technical approach to the carbon balance method is detailed in the Appendices.

Fuel specific gravity or density is measured enabling corrections to be made to the final engine performance factors based upon the energy content of the fuel reaching the injectors.

Smoke density was determined by drawing a fixed quantity of exhaust gases through a filter medium. The particulate's were collected onto the filter surface and the density determined by comparing the discoloration of the filter paper to a color calibrated scale.

Ten Haulpaks were tested during the baseline fuel test segment. Seven of the original ten were available at the time of the FPC-1 treated fuel retest. Table 1 below summarizes the percent change in fuel consumption.

**Table 1:**  
Summary of Carbon Balance Fuel Consumption Changes

<u>Unit</u>	<u>Engine</u>	<u>RPM</u>	<u>% Change Fuel Consumption</u>
27	16V149	1600	- 7.67
28	16V149	1600	- 6.09
29	16V149	1600	- 7.39
31	16V149	1595	- 9.53
32	16V149	1600	- 7.01
39*	16V149	1600	- 13.58
43	16V149	1600	- 8.46

\* Anomaly (see Discussion, number 5)

# DISCUSSION

## 1. Fuel Density

Fuel specific gravity (density) for the baseline and treated tests are found on the computer printouts attached in the Appendices, as are the correction factors made to the FPC-1 treated fuel final engine performance factors (PF2). The correction factor adjusts the energy content of the treated fuel to that of the baseline fuel.

## 2. Emission Changes

Emissions of carbon monoxide (CO) were reduced approximately 15% after FPC-1 fuel treatment and engine preconditioning. Unburned hydrocarbons (HC) showed a slight, but insignificant reduction during the FPC-1 test.

The Magma Copper data are consistent with prior laboratory and field emissions data. Almost universally, when the gaseous products of incomplete combustion are low with base fuel, FPC-1 effects little change. This is particularly true with emissions of unburned hydrocarbons (measured as n-hexane). However, when these same gases are produced at higher base concentrations, FPC-1 is effective in lowering the emissions.

This is supported in the Magma Copper test fleet by the trucks experiencing higher baseline CO levels. After FPC-1 treatment and engine preconditioning, the higher CO levels were reduced (excepting Unit 29). The engines with low baseline CO levels realized no change in treated CO levels.

## 3. Intake Air Temperature and Pressure

Intake or ambient air temperatures were virtually identical on the average for both test segments. Barometric pressure was slightly lower during the treated fuel test segment. Any change in intake air temperature and/or pressure are corrected for in the carbon balance calculation. The equations for the carbon balance, including the corrections for ambient conditions are found on Figure 1 in the Appendices. A sample calculation is also found in the Appendices on Figure 2.

## 4. The Effect of FPC-1 upon Smoke Density

Smoke density was determined using the Bacharach smoke spot method. The Bacharach True-Spot Smokemeter measures smoke density by drawing a specific volume of exhaust gas through a fine paper filter medium (5 micron) while the engine is operating at a fixed rpm and under

steady-state engine conditions. The smoke particles are trapped on the surface of the filter paper as the exhaust gases are drawn through it forming a darkened area called a "smoke spot". The filter paper is then removed from the smoke tester and the smoke spot visually compared to a precoded smoke scale. A smoke number is then assigned to the smoke spot according to the darkness of the spot. The smoke number scale ranges from 0 to 9. Higher smoke numbers correspond to darker smoke spots, which correspond to a greater smoke density in the exhaust. The baseline and treated fuel smoke spot numbers are tabled below.

**Table 2:**  
Comparison of Smoke Spot Numbers

<u>Unit No.</u>	<u>Base SS#</u>	<u>Treated SS#</u>	<u>% Change</u>
28	4.5	3.5	- 22.2
32	4.0	3.5	- 12.5
39	3.5	3.0	- 14.3
27	4.0	2.5	- 37.5
29	4.0	3.0	- 25.0
31	4.5	3.5	- 22.2
43	3.5	3.0	- 14.3
Averages:	4.0	3.1	- 22.5

Reductions in smoke and CO are prime evidences of improved combustion (Germane, SAE Technical Paper # 831204). Further, reduced exhaust smoking has been shown to be one of first evidences that engine carbon residue and soot blowby into the motor oil are also being reduced (ibid). The reductions in exhaust smoke and CO are logical extensions of improved combustion created by FPC-1.

### 5. Unit #39 Fuel Consumption Change

Unit #39 indicated a 13.58% reduction in fuel consumption. This change falls well outside of the range for the remaining six units tested and is almost twice the average. The raw data worksheet notes that a change to a higher range pressure gauge was made when Unit #39 was tested because of the higher baseline pressure readings (1.25 inches H<sub>2</sub>O). When the treated test pressure readings were noted as being much lower than the base test readings, the pressure gauge (Magnehelic) was checked for calibration and found to read an absolute 0.10 "H<sub>2</sub>O high. The absolute correction was made to the actual pressure readings. However, no additional pressure readings were taken. This may have been a procedural error on the part of the testing technicians that created an inaccuracy in the pressure data.



It should also be pointed out that Unit #39 experienced baseline pressure readings much higher than the fleet average to begin with. Therefore, the baseline pressure reading may have been erroneous or some mechanical problem that was later corrected may be the cause of the radical change in exhaust mass flow rate, and therefore, the outcome of the carbon mass balance for Unit #39.

## CONCLUSIONS

- 1) With the anomaly (#39) removed from the sample, the fuel consumption change determined by the carbon balance method ranged from a - 6.09 to - 9.53%. The fleet averaged a 7.69% reduction in fuel consumed after FPC-1 fuel treatment and engine preconditioning.
- 2) Smoke density was reduced approximately 22%.
- 3) Carbon monoxide (CO) was reduced approximately 15%. Unburned hydrocarbon emissions were not affected by FPC-1 fuel treatment. Both gas levels were very low when running on base fuel, and therefore, the potential for improvement was diminished.

# APPENDICES

## **CARBON BALANCE METHOD TECHNICAL APPROACH:**

All test instruments were calibrated and zeroed prior to both baseline and treated fuel data collection. The SGA-9000 NDIR exhaust gas analyzer was internally calibrated using Scott Calibration Gases (BAR 90 Gases), and a leak test on the sampling hose and connections was performed. The same procedure was repeated after each test segment to determine any instrument drift.

Each vehicle's engine was brought up to operating temperature at a set rpm and allowed to stabilize as indicated by the engine water, oil, and exhaust temperature, and exhaust pressure. No exhaust gas measurements were made until each engine had stabilized at the rpm selected for the test. # 2 diesel was used exclusively throughout the evaluation. Fuel specific gravity (density) and temperature were taken before testing.

The baseline fuel consumption test consisted of a minimum of five sets of measurements of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, HC, O<sub>2</sub>, and exhaust temperature and pressure made at 90 second intervals. Each engine was tested in the same manner. Rpm, exhaust temperature, and exhaust pressure were also recorded at approximately 90 second intervals.

After the baseline test the fuel storage tanks were treated with FPC-1<sup>®</sup> at the recommended level of 1 oz. of catalyst to 40 gallons of fuel (1:5000 volume ratio). Each succeeding fuel shipment was also treated with FPC-1<sup>®</sup>. The equipment was operated on treated fuel until the final test was run.

During the two test segments, an internal self-calibration of the exhaust analyzer was performed after every two sets of measurements to correct instrument drift, if any.

From the exhaust gas concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, HC, and O<sub>2</sub> measured during the test, the average molecular weight of these gases, and the temperature and density of the exhaust stream, the mass flow rate of the fuel to the engine (rate of fuel consumption) may be expressed as a engine "performance factor" which relates the fuel consumption of the treated fuel to the baseline. The calculations are based on the assumption that engine operating conditions are essentially the same throughout the test. Engines with known mechanical problems or having undergone repairs affecting fuel consumption are removed from the sample.

A sample calculation is found in Figure 2. All performance factors are rounded off to the nearest meaningful place in the sample.



# **COMPUTER PRINTOUTS**

**Figure 1**  
**CARBON MASS BALANCE FORMULAE**

**ASSUMPTIONS:** C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>26</sub> and SG = 0.82  
Time is constant  
Load is constant

**DATA:**

Mwt = Molecular Weight  
 pf1 = Calculated Performance Factor (Baseline)  
 pf2 = Calculated Performance Factor (Treated)  
 PF1 = Performance Factor (adjusted for Baseline exhaust mass)  
 PF2 = Performance Factor (adjusted for Treated exhaust mass)  
 T = Temperature (°F)  
 CFM = Exhaust Flow  
 SG = Specific Gravity  
 VF = Volume Fraction  
 d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches  
 Pv = Velocity pressure in inches of H<sub>2</sub>O  
 P<sub>B</sub> = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury  
 ET = Exhaust temperature °F  
 VFHC = "reading" ÷ 1,000,000  
 VF<sub>CO</sub> = "reading" ÷ 100  
 VF<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub> = "reading" ÷ 100  
 VF<sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub> = "reading" ÷ 100

**EQUATIONS:**

$$\text{Mwt} = (\text{VFHC})(86) + (\text{VF}_{\text{CO}})(28) + (\text{VF}_{\text{CO}_2})(44) + (\text{VF}_{\text{O}_2})(32) + [(1 - \text{VFHC} - \text{VF}_{\text{CO}} - \text{VF}_{\text{CO}_2} - \text{VF}_{\text{O}_2})(28)]$$

$$\text{pf1 or pf2} = \frac{2952.3 \times \text{Mwt}}{86(\text{VFHC}) + 13.89(\text{VF}_{\text{CO}}) + 13.89(\text{VF}_{\text{CO}_2})}$$

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \cdot 1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{\text{Pv}}{1.325 (\text{P}_B/\text{ET} + 460)}}$$

$$\text{PF1 or PF2} = \frac{\text{pf} \times (\text{T} + 460)}{\text{CFM}}$$

FUEL ECONOMY:  
 PERCENT INCREASE (OR DECREASE) =  $\frac{\text{PF2} - \text{PF1}}{\text{PF1}} \times 100$

Figure 2.

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Date:** 4/14/94  
**Test Portion:** Baseline      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 5054  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 27      **Baro:** 30.00      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG)** 0.8400      **Amb. Tem** 83      degrees F  
**Time:** 955

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	310.6	0.8	0.01	11	1.94	17.1	
1600	311.6	0.8	0.01	12	1.93	17.1	
1600	312.8	0.8	0.02	14	1.93	17.1	
1600	312.8	0.8	0.02	14	1.93	17.1	
1600	311.8	0.8	0.02	13	1.94	17.1	
1600	313.2	0.8	0.02	14	1.94	17.1	
1600	313.8	0.8	0.01	13	1.94	17.1	
1600	314.2	0.8	0.02	13	1.94	17.1	
1600	314.6	0.8	0.02	14	1.94	17.1	
1600	315.6	0.8	0.02	14	1.94	17.1	
1600.000	313.100	.800	.017	13.200	1.937	17.100	Mean
0	1.51217283	1.9868E-08	0.004830459	1.03279556	0.00483046	3.1789E-07	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw1**      **pf1**      **PF1**  
 1.32E-05      0.00017      0.01937      0.171      28.9946856      314,079      103,011

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Test Date:** 5/5/94  
**Test Portion:** Treated      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 5453  
**Equipment Type** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 27      **Baro:** 29.86      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity:** 0.837      **Amb. Temp**      degrees F  
**SG Corr Factor:** 1.0036      **Time:** 830

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	308.2	0.775	0.02	14	1.85	17.3	
1600	305.6	0.775	0.02	14	1.82	17.2	
1600	307.2	0.775	0.01	14	1.83	17.2	
1600	307.8	0.775	0.01	14	1.81	17.3	
1600	309.2	0.775	0.01	15	1.82	17.1	
1600	309.6	0.775	0.02	16	1.83	17	
1600	310.4	0.775	0.01	14	1.84	17.2	
1600	310.4	0.775	0.01	15	1.83	17.1	
1600	310.6	0.775	0.01	15	1.81	17.1	
1600	311.2	0.775	0.01	15	1.82	17.2	
1600.000	309.020	.775	.013	14.600	1.826	17.170	Mean
0	1.782507597	0	0.004830459	0.6992059	0.01264911	0.09486833	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw2**      **pf2**      **PF2**  
 1.46E-05      0.00013      0.01826      0.1717      28.9798068      333,305      110,514

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density: 110,912      **\*\*% Change PF = 7.67 %**

\*\* A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption. 7.28

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Date:** 4/14/94  
**Test Portion:** Baseline      **Stack Diam.** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 5677  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 28      **Baro:** 30.01      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG)** 0.8370      **Amb. Tem** 88.4      degrees F  
**Time:** 1110

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Incl.	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1605	344	0.65	0.03	21	1.82	17.3	
1605	345.4	0.65	0.03	21	1.82	17.2	
1605	344	0.65	0.03	21	1.81	17.1	
1605	346.1	0.65	0.03	23	1.82	17	
1605	347.4	0.65	0.03	21	1.83	17	
1605	347.2	0.65	0.03	22	1.81	16.4	
1605	351.2	0.65	0.03	22	1.81	17	
1605	351.8	0.65	0.03	22	1.8	16.7	
1605	348.8	0.65	0.03	21	1.81	17.4	
1605	348.8	0.65	0.03	22	1.81	17.3	
1605.000	347.470	.650	.030	21.600	1.814	17.040	Mean
0	2.718680235	0	0	0.6992059	0.00843274	0.30258149	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw1**      **pf1**      **PF1**  
 2.16E-05      0.0003      0.01814      0.1704      28.9730928      331,470      123,281

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Test Date:** 5/5/94  
**Test Portion:** Treated      **Stack Diam:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 6029  
**Equipment Type** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 28      **Baro:** 29.86      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity:** 0.838      **Amb. Tem** 77.2      degrees F  
**SG Corr Factor:** 0.9988      **Time:**

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	324.4	0.6	0.02	16	1.77	17.4	
1600	323.4	0.6	0.02	17	1.78	17.4	
1600	325.2	0.6	0.01	16	1.76	17.5	
1600	325	0.6	0.01	16	1.77	17.4	
1600	324.6	0.6	0.02	16	1.76	17.5	
1600	324.4	0.6	0.01	15	1.75	17.5	
1600	323.8	0.6	0.02	16	1.76	17.4	
1600	324.2	0.6	0.01	15	1.77	17.3	
1600	323.8	0.6	0.01	15	1.76	17.3	
1600	324.2	0.6	0.02	16	1.77	17.4	
1600.000	324.300	.600	.015	15.800	1.765	17.410	Mean
0	0.551764845	7.0245E-09	0.005270463	0.63245553	0.00849837	0.07378648	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw2**      **pf2**      **PF2**  
 1.58E-05      0.00015      0.01765      0.1741      28.9797164      344,088      130,946

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

130,788

**\*\*% Change PF = 6.09 %**

\*\* A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

6.22



**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Date:** 4/14/94  
**Test Portion:** Baseline      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 9891  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 29      **Baro:** 30.00      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG):** 0.8380      **Amb. Tem:** 83      degrees F  
**Time:** 1210

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	315	0.75	0.01	16	1.79	18.4	
1600	315.2	0.75	0.01	16	1.79	18.4	
1600	315	0.75	0.01	17	1.78	17.9	
1600	315.2	0.75	0.01	14	1.77	17.8	
1600	315.2	0.75	0.01	14	1.77	17.8	
1600	314.8	0.8	0.01	15	1.79	17.8	
1600	315.2	0.8	0.01	17	1.79	17.8	
1600	315.6	0.8	0.02	17	1.8	17.6	
1600	316	0.8	0.02	17	1.8	17.6	
1600	316.2	0.8	0.02	17	1.8	17.7	
1600.000	315.340	.775	.013	16.000	1.788	17.880	Mean
0	0.452646539	0.02635231	0.004830459	1.24721913	0.01135292	0.28982753	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw1**      **pf1**      **PF1**  
 1.60E-05      0.00013      0.01788      0.1788      29.002208      340,338      113,574

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Test Date:** 5/5/94  
**Test Portion:** Treated      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 299  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 29      **Baro:** 29.86      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity:** 0.834      **Amb. Tem:** 78.2      degrees F  
**SG Corr Factor:** 1.0048      **Time:** 800

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	304.6	0.7	0.02	13	1.75	17.4	
1600	302	0.7	0.02	14	1.74	17.4	
1600	301.6	0.7	0.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	301.8	0.7	0.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	302	0.7	0.02	15	1.73	17.4	
1600	302.2	0.7	0.02	17	1.73	17.2	
1600	302	0.7	0.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	301.6	0.7	0.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	302	0.7	0.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	302	0.7	0.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600.000	302.180	.700	.020	14.300	1.733	17.380	Mean
0	0.871524845	0	4.3903E-10	1.05934991	0.00674949	0.06324555	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw2**      **pf2**      **PF2**  
 1.43E-05      0.0002      0.01733      0.1738      28.9733094      349,470      121,380

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

121,962

**\*\*% Change PF = 7.39 %**

\*\* A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

4-87

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Date:** 4/14/94  
**Test Portion:** Baseline      **Stack Diam:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 4183  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 31      **Baro:** 30.00      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG)** 0.8420      **Amb. Tem** 75.8      degrees F  
**Time:** 917

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1595	327.4	0.7	0.02	13	2.05	17	
1595	327.6	0.7	0.02	13	2.06	17	
1595	328.4	0.75	0.02	13	2.05	16.9	
1595	328.8	0.75	0.02	13	2.08	16.9	
1595	325.4	0.75	0.02	13	2.08	16.9	
1595	328.4	0.75	0.02	14	2.06	16.8	
1595	329.8	0.75	0.02	15	2.08	16.8	
1595	330	0.75	0.02	15	2.09	16.8	
1595	329.6	0.75	0.03	16	2.09	16.9	
1595	330	0.75	0.02	15	2.12	16.9	
1595.000	328.540	.740	.021	14.000	2.076	16.890	Mean
0	1.457699862	0.02108185	0.003162278	1.15470054	0.02170509	0.07378648	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw1**      **pf1**      **PF1**  
 1.40E-05      0.00021      0.02076      0.1689      29.008572      292,774      100,832

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Test Date:** 5/5/94  
**Test Portion:** Treated      **Stack Diam:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 4604  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 31      **Baro:** 29.86      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity:** 0.838      **Amb. Tem** 87      degrees F  
**SG Corr Factor:** 1.0048      **Time:** 1045

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1595	319.8	0.7	0.02	17	1.94	16.9	
1595	320.8	0.7	0.02	17	1.94	16.9	
1595	320.6	0.7	0.02	17	1.96	17	
1595	320.2	0.7	0.02	17	1.95	17	
1595	320.4	0.7	0.02	17	1.95	17	
1595	320.6	0.7	0.02	17	1.94	17	
1595	320.4	0.7	0.02	17	1.94	17	
1595	322	0.7	0.02	17	1.93	17	
1595	322	0.7	0.02	17	1.93	17.1	
1595	321.8	0.7	0.02	17	1.92	17	
1595.000	320.860	.700	.020	17.000	1.940	16.990	Mean
0	0.789092306	0	4.3903E-10	0	0.01154701	0.05676462	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw2**      **pf2**      **PF2**  
 1.70E-05      0.0002      0.0194      0.1699      28.990986      312,650      109,914

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

110,441

**\*\*% Change PF = 9.53 %**

\*\* A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

9.01



**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Date:** 4/14/94  
**Test Portion:** Baseline      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 3094  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 32      **Baro:** 30.01      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG):** 0.8300      **Amb. Tem:** 78.8      degrees F  
**Time:** 1015

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	337.2	0.6	0.02	22	2.22	16.3	
1600	338.8	0.6	0.02	14	2.21	16.7	
1600	341	0.6	0.02	15	2.19	16.8	
1600	342.8	0.65	0.02	17	2.19	16.7	
1600	343	0.65	0.02	17	2.2	16.8	
1600	343.8	0.65	0.02	17	2.19	16.9	
1600	343.2	0.65	0.02	17	2.19	16.9	
1600	342.8	0.65	0.02	17	2.19	16.9	
1600	342.2	0.65	0.02	15	2.19	16.9	
1600.000	341.644	.633	.020	16.778	2.197	16.767	Mean
0	2.240039682	0.025	3.29272E-10	2.27913239	0.01118034	0.19364917	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw1**      **pf1**      **PF1**  
 1.68E-05      0.0002      0.02196667      0.167666667      29.0231064      276,950      103,973

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Test Date:** 5/5/94  
**Test Portion:** Treated      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:**  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 32      **Baro:** 29.86      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity:** 0.834      **Amb. Tem:** 79.6      degrees F  
**SG Corr Factor:** 0.9952      **Time:** 848

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	329.6	0.55	0.02	15	2.12	16.8	
1600	331.2	0.55	0.02	15	2.13	16.7	
1600	332.2	0.55	0.02	15	2.14	16.8	
1600	333.8	0.575	0.01	14	2.14	16.7	
1600	334.2	0.575	0.01	14	2.12	16.5	
1600	334.6	0.575	0.01	15	2.13	16.6	
1600	334.8	0.6	0.01	14	2.12	16.6	
1600	335.4	0.6	0.01	15	2.12	16.7	
1600	336.5	0.6	0.02	15	2.14	16.7	
1600	336.8	0.6	0.02	15	2.12	16.6	
1600.000	333.920	.578	.015	14.700	2.128	16.670	Mean
0	2.309785945	0.02188988	0.005270463	0.48304589	0.00918937	0.09486833	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw2**      **pf2**      **PF2**  
 1.47E-05      0.00015      0.02128      0.1667      29.0081326      286,452      111,794

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

111,258

**\*\*% Change PF = 7.01 %**

\*\* A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

7.52

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Date:** 4/14/94  
**Test Portion:** Baseline      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:**  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 39      **Baro:** 30.00      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG)** 0.8400      **Amb. Tem** 78      degrees F  
**Time:** 941

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	317.6	1.25	0.01	13	1.91	17	
1600	319.6	1.25	0.01	13	1.91	17	
1600	321.2	1.25	0.01	12	1.9	17.1	
1600	321.6	1.25	0.01	12	1.9	17	
1600	322.2	1.25	0.01	13	1.91	17.1	
1600	322.6	1.25	0.01	13	1.91	17.1	
1600	323.2	1.25	0.01	13	1.9	17.1	
1600	323.2	1.25	0.01	13	1.9	17.1	
1600	323	1.25	0.01	12	1.9	17.1	
1600	323	1.25	0.01	12	1.9	17	
1600.000	321.720	1.250	.010	12.600	1.904	17.060	Mean
0	1.838356754	0	2.19515E-10	0.51639778	0.00516398	0.05163978	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw1**      **pf1**      **PF1**  
 1.26E-05      0.0001      0.01904      0.1706      28.9877708      320,555      84,576

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Test Date:** 5/5/94  
**Test Portion:** Treated      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 9295  
**Equipment Type** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 39      **Baro:** 29.86      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity:** 0.832      **Amb. Tem** 93.4      degrees F  
**SG Corr Factor:** 1.0095      **Time:** 1120

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	326.4	1.05	0.01	15	1.84	16.8	
1600	326	1.05	0.01	15	1.83	16.8	
1600	325	1.05	0.01	12	1.82	17.2	
1600	326.4	1.08	0.01	12	1.81	17.2	
1600	326.6	1.08	0.01	12	1.81	17.3	
1600	326.8	1.08	0.01	13	1.84	17.2	
1600	327.8	1.08	0.01	13	1.83	17.1	
1600	326.8	1.08	0.01	13	1.83	17.1	
1600	325.8	1.08	0.01	12	1.83	17.3	
1600	326.4	1.08	0.01	12	1.84	17.3	
1600.000	326.400	1.071	.010	12.900	1.828	17.130	Mean
0	0.730296743	0.01449138	2.19515E-10	1.197219	0.01135292	0.18885621	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw2**      **pf2**      **PF2**  
 1.29E-05      0.0001      0.01828      0.1713      28.9784282      333,610      95,153

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

96,057

**\*\*% Change PF = 13.58 %**

\*\* A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

12.51



**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Date:** 4/14/94  
**Test Portion:** Baseline      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 3053  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 43      **Baro:** 30.00      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity(SG):** 0.8420      **Amb. Tem:** 75.2      degrees F      **Time:** 845

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	300	1.1	0.01	10	1.44	18	
1600	301.6	1.1	0.01	10	1.44	18	
1600	302	1.15	0.01	10	1.44	18	
1600	302	1.15	0.01	10	1.46	17.9	
1600	302.4	1.15	0.01	10	1.46	18	
1600	302.6	1.15	0.01	10	1.46	18	
1600	303.2	1.15	0.01	10	1.46	18	
1600	302.8	1.15	0.01	10	1.46	17.9	
1600	303.4	1.15	0.01	10	1.46	17.9	
1600	304.6	1.15	0.01	10	1.46	17.8	
1600.000	302.460	1.140	.010	10.000	1.454	17.950	Mean
0	1.21856017	0.02108185	2.19515E-10	0	0.00966092	0.07071068	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw1**      **pf1**      **PF1**  
 1.00E-05      0.0001      0.01454      0.1795      28.95122      418,492      114,187

**Company Name:** Magma      **Location:** Pinto Valley      **Test Date:** 5/5/94  
**Test Portion:** Treated      **Stack Diam.:** 10      Inches  
**Engine Type:** 16V149 DT      **Mile/Hrs:** 3471  
**Equipment Type:** Haul Pac 190T      **ID #:** 43      **Baro:** 29.83      Inches Hg.  
**Fuel Sp. Gravity:** 0.832      **Amb. Tem:** 90      degrees F  
**SG Corr Factor:** 1.0119      **Time:** 1330

RPM	Exh Temp (F)	Pv Inch	% CO	HC ppm	% CO2	% O2	
1600	299.6	1.05	0.01	13	1.43	18.7	
1600	299.8	1.05	0.01	12	1.42	17.8	
1600	299.6	1.05	0.01	15	1.4	17.9	
1600	300.2	1.05	0.01	15	1.4	17.8	
1600	300	1.05	0.01	15	1.4	17.8	
1600	301.8	1.05	0.01	17	1.39	17.7	
1600	301.8	1.05	0.01	17	1.4	17.9	
1600	302	1.05	0.01	10	1.4	18	
1600	301.4	1.05	0.01	8	1.4	18.3	
1600	302	1.05	0.01	10	1.41	17.8	
1600.000	300.820	1.050	.010	13.200	1.405	17.970	Mean
0	1.060188662	0	2.19515E-10	3.11982906	0.01178511	0.30568684	Std Dev

**VFHC**      **VFCO**      **VFCO2**      **VFO2**      **Mtw2**      **pf2**      **PF2**  
 1.32E-05      0.0001      0.01405      0.1797      28.9443656      432,193      122,394

Performance factor adjusted for fuel density:

123,851

**\*\*% Change PF = 8.46 %**

\*\* A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

7-19

**SAMPLE CALCULATION FOR THE CARBON MASS BALANCE  
ID #27**

**BASELINE:**

**Equation 1 (Volume Fractions)**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFHC} &= 13.20/1,000,000 \\ &= 0.0000132 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFCO} &= 0.017/100 \\ &= 0.00017 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFCO}_2 &= 1.937/100 \\ &= 0.01937 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFO}_2 &= 17.10/100 \\ &= 0.171 \end{aligned}$$

**Equation 2 (Molecular Weight)**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mwt1} &= (0.0000132)(86) + (0.00017)(28) + (0.01937)(44) + (0.171)(32) \\ &\quad + [(1-0.0000132-0.00017-0.01937-0.171)(28)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Mwt1} = 28.995$$

**Equation 3 (Calculated Performance Factor)**

$$\text{pf1} = \frac{2952.3 \times 28.995}{86(0.0000132) + 13.89(0.00017) + 13.89(0.01937)}$$

$$\text{pf1} = 314,083$$

*329,702*

**Equation 4 (CFM Calculations)**

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \cdot 1096.2 \frac{P_v}{1.325 (P_B/ET + 460)}$$

d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches  
 Pv = Velocity pressure in inches of H<sub>2</sub>O  
 P<sub>B</sub> = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury  
 ET = Exhaust temperature °F

$$CFM = \frac{(10/2)^2 \pi \cdot 1096.2}{144} \frac{.80}{1.325(30.00/313.100 + 460)}$$

$$CFM = 2358.37$$

**Equation 5 (Corrected Performance Factor)**

$$PF1 = \frac{329,702 \cdot 314,083 (313.1 \text{ deg F} + 460)}{2358.37 \text{ CFM}}$$

$$PF1 = 102,960$$

**TREATED:**

**Equation 1 (Volume Fractions)**

$$VFHC = 14.6/1,000,000 = 0.0000146$$

$$VFCO = .013/100 = 0.00013$$

$$VF\text{CO}_2 = 1.826/100 = 0.01826$$

$$VFO_2 = 17.17/100 = 0.1717$$

**Equation 2 (Molecular Weight)**

$$Mwt2 = (0.0000146)(86) + (0.00013)(28) + (0.01826)(44) + (0.1717)(32) + [(1-0.0000146-0.00013-0.01826-0.1717)(28)]$$

$$Mwt2 = 28.980$$

**Equation 3 (Calculated Performance Factor)**

$$pf2 = \frac{2952.3 \times 28.980}{86(0.0000146) + 13.89(0.00013) + 13.89(0.01826)}$$

$$pf2 = 333,308$$

**Equation 4 (CFM Calculations)**

$$CFM = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi \cdot 1096.2 \cdot P_v}{144 \cdot 1.325 (P_B/ET + 460)}$$

- d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches
- P<sub>v</sub> = Velocity pressure in inches of H<sub>2</sub>O
- P<sub>B</sub> = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury
- ET = Exhaust temperature °F

$$CFM = \frac{(10/2)^2 \pi \cdot 1096.2 \cdot .775}{144 \cdot 1.325(29.86/309.02 + 460)}$$

$$CFM = 2320.51$$

**Equation 5 (Corrected Performance Factor)**

$$PF2 = \frac{333,308 (309.02 \text{ deg F} + 460)}{2320.51 \text{ CFM}}$$

$$= 110,459$$

**Specific Gravity Correction Factor**

Baseline Fuel Specific Gravity - Treated Fuel Specific Gravity / Baseline Fuel Specific Gravity + 1

$$.840 - .837 / .840 + 1 = 1.003$$

$$PF2 = 110,459 \times \text{Specific Gravity Correction}$$



$$PF2 = \overset{115,949}{110,459} \times 1.0036$$

$$PF2 = \overset{116,263}{110,857}$$

**Equation 6 (Percent Change in Engine Performance Factor:)**

$$\% \text{ Change PF} = \frac{PF2 - PF1}{PF1} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ Change PF} = \frac{\overset{115,949}{110,857} - \overset{108,080}{102,960}}{\overset{108,080}{102,960}} \times 100$$

$$* = +7.67$$

\* Equates to a 7.67% reduction in fuel consumption.

7.29

# **RAW DATA WORK SHEETS**

Company: Magma Location: Pink Valley Test Date: 4-14-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: \_\_\_\_\_ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 16V149DT Miles/Hours: 5054 I.D.#: 27  
 Type of Equipment: Haul Pac - 190T

Fuel Specific Gravity: .840 @: 83.0 (°F)

Barometric Pressure: \_\_\_\_\_ inches of Mercury Start Time: 9:45  
 Finish 9:55

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	310.6	.80	.01	11	<del>1.94</del> 19.4	17.1	
1600	311.6	.80	.01	12	<del>1.93</del> 19.3	17.1	
1600	312.8	.80	.02	14	<del>1.93</del> 19.3	17.1	
1600	312.8	.80	.02	14	<del>1.93</del> 19.3	17.1	
1600	311.8	.80	.02	13	<del>1.94</del> 19.4	17.1	
1600	313.2	.80	.02	14	<del>1.94</del> 19.4	17.1	
1600	313.8	.80	.01	13	<del>1.94</del> 19.4	17.1	
1600	314.2	.80	.02	13	<del>1.94</del> 19.4	17.1	
1600	314.6	.80	.02	14	<del>1.94</del> 19.4	17.1	
1600	315.6	.80	.02	14	<del>1.94</del> 19.4	17.1	

Smoke  
4.0  
Eng. Temp  
170.  
Filter  
less than  
13

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Flinders LeBaron

Signature of Technicians:

Stewart

Company: HAGNA Location: Pinto Valley Test Date: 5-5-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: \_\_\_\_\_ Treated:  Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 inches

Engine Make/Model: 16J199 Miles/Hours: 5453 I.D.#: 27  
 Type of Equipment: HALLMARK

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.837 @: 77° (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 29.8 inches of Mercury Start Time: 8:30 AM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	308.2	.775	.02	14	1.85	17.3	
1600	305.6	.775	.02	14	1.82	17.2	
1600	301.2	.775	.01	14	1.83	17.2	
1600	307.8	.775	.01	14	1.81	17.3	
1600	309.2	.775	.01	15	1.82	17.1	
1600	309.6	.775	.02	16	1.83	17.0	
1600	310.4	.775	.01	14	1.84	17.2	
1600	310.4	.775	.01	15	1.83	17.1	
1600	310.6	.775	.01	15	1.81	17.1	
1600	311.2	.775	.01	15	1.82	17.2	

Smoke  
 2.5  
 Air Rev.  
 Less Than  
 12  
 Water Temp  
 170°F



Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test: Ed. G. 45 m

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Technicians:

S. C. Furbus K. A. LeBlanc

~~1537-843~~  
 840-857



Company: Magma Location: Pink Valley Test Date: 4-14-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated:        Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 16V149 DT Miles/Hours: 5677 I.D.#: 28  
~~254749 DT~~  
 Type of Equipment: Haul Pac - 190 Ton

Fuel Specific Gravity: .837 @: 88.4 (°F)

Barometric Pressure:        inches of Mercury Start Time: 10:55  
 Finish 11:10

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1605	344.0	.65	.03	21	1.82	17.3	
1605	345.4	.65	.03	21	1.82	17.2	
1605	344.0	.65	.03	21	1.81	17.1	
1605	346.1	.65	.02	23	1.82	17.0	
1605	347.4	.65	.03	21	1.83	17.0	
1605	347.2	.65	.03	22	1.81	16.4	
1605	351.2	.65	.03	22	1.81	17.0	
1605	351.8	.65	.03	22	1.80	16.7	
1605	348.8	.65	.03	21	1.81	17.4	
1605	348.8	.65	.03	22	1.81	17.3	

Data taken with fan off  
 Smoke 9.5  
 Air Filter less than 10  
 Water Temp 178°

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Technicians:

Flinders, LeBaron Stewart

Company: Magnum Location: Pinto Valley Test Date: 5-5-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: \_\_\_\_\_ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 164145 Miles/Hours: 6029 I.D.#: 28  
 Type of Equipment: HAULMAN 685E

Fuel Specific Gravity: .838 @: 77.2 (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 29.46 inches of Mercury Start Time: \_\_\_\_\_

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	324.4	.60	.02	16	1.77	17.4	
1600	323.4	.60	.02	17	1.78	17.4	
1600	325.2	.60	.01	16	1.76	17.5	
1600	325.0	.60	.01	16	1.77	17.4	
1600	324.6	.60	.02	16	1.76	17.5	
1600	324.4	.60	.01	15	1.75	17.5	
1600	323.8	.60	.02	16	1.76	17.4	
1600	324.2	.60	.01	15	1.77	17.3	
1600	323.8	.60	.01	15	1.76	17.3	
1600	324.2	.60	.02	16	1.77	17.4	

Air Rest.  
Less than  
15

H<sub>2</sub>O Temp  
170° F.

SMOKE:  
3.5



Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Technicians:

\_\_\_\_\_

470-535



Company: Magma / Location: \_\_\_\_\_ Test Date: 4-14-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline:  Treated: \_\_\_\_\_ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 16V149DT Miles/Hours: 9891 I.D.#: 29  
 Type of Equipment: \_\_\_\_\_

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.870 @: 83.0 (°F)

Barometric Pressure: \_\_\_\_\_ inches of Mercury Start Time: 12:10 PM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	310.0	.75	.01	16	1.79	18.4	
1600	315.2	.75	.01	16	1.79	18.4	
1600	315.0	.75	.01	17	1.78	17.9	
1600	315.2	.75	.01	14	1.77	17.8	
1600	315.2	.75	.01	14	1.77	17.8	
1600	314.8	.80	.01	15	1.79	17.8	
1600	315.2	.80	.01	17	1.78	17.8	
1600	315.6	.80	.02	17	1.80	17.6	
1600	316.0	.80	.02	17	1.80	17.6	
1600	316.2	.80	.02	17	1.80	17.7	

SMOKE:  
4.0  
  
AIR FLOW  
LESS 10  
  
H<sub>2</sub>O TEMP.  
170 °F

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Technicians:

Tim Deck

Company: NAKHA Location: PINK VALLEY Test Date: 5-5-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: \_\_\_\_\_ Treated:  Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 16 U149 Miles/Hours: 299 I.D.#: 29  
 Type of Equipment: \_\_\_\_\_

Air Temp.  
75.3

Fuel Specific Gravity: .834 @: 78.2 (°F)

Barometric Pressure: \_\_\_\_\_ inches of Mercury Start Time: 8:00 AM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	304.6	.70	.02	13	1.75	17.4	
1600	302	.70	.02	14	1.74	17.4	
1600	301.6	.70	.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	301.8	.70	.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	302.0	.70	.02	15	1.73	17.4	
1600	302.2	.70	.02	17	1.73	17.2	
1600	302.00	.70	.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	301.6	.70	.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	302	.70	.02	14	1.73	17.4	
1600	302	.70	.02	14	1.73	17.4	

SHOCKE  
3.0

Air Res.  
Less than  
15

H<sub>2</sub>O Temp.  
170 °F



Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

End: 8:20 AM

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Technicians:

S. C. Finckes

K. P. Leonard

438 - .834



Company: P.A. Gama Location: Pinto Valley Test Date: 4-14-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: \_\_\_\_\_ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches  
 Engine Make/Model: 16V149 DT Miles/Hours: 4183 I.D.#: 31  
 Type of Equipment: Haul Pac 190 T

Fuel Specific Gravity: .842 @: 75.8 (°F)

Barometric Pressure: \_\_\_\_\_ inches of Mercury Start Time: 9:00 a.m  
 Finish 9:17

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1595	327.4	<del>165</del> 170	.02	13	<del>2.05</del> 2.05	17.0	
1595	327.6	170	.02	13	<del>2.06</del> 2.06	17.0	
1595	328.4	175	.02	13	<del>2.05</del> 2.05	16.9	
1595	328.8	175	.02	13	<del>2.03</del> 2.03	16.9	
1595	325.4	175	.02	13	<del>2.08</del> 2.08	16.9	
1595	328.4	.75	.02	14	<del>2.06</del> 2.06	16.8	
1595	329.8	.75	.02	15	<del>2.08</del> 2.08	16.9	
1595	330.0	.75	.02	15	<del>2.09</del> 2.09	16.8	
1595	329.6	.75	.03	16	<del>2.06</del> 2.06	16.9	
1595	330.0	.75	.02	15	<del>2.12</del> 2.12	16.9	

Smoke  
4.8  
Eng Temp  
170°  
Filter  
less than  
4

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Flinders LeBaron

Signature of Technicians:

Stewart

Company: FLACMA Location: Pinto Valley Test Date: 5-5-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: \_\_\_\_\_ Treated: X Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 16V149 Miles/Hours: 4607 I.D.#: 31  
 Type of Equipment: HAULTRAK 685E

Air Temp:  
87.0 °F

Fuel Specific Gravity: .838 @: 82.5 (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 29.80 inches of Mercury Start Time: 10:45 AM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1595	319.8	.70	.02	17	1.94	16.9	
1595	320.8	.70	.02	17	1.94	16.9	
1595	320.6	.70	.02	17	1.96	17.0	
1595	320.2	.70	.02	17	1.95	17.0	
1595	320.4	.70	.02	17	1.95	17.0	
1595	320.6	.70	.02	17	1.94	17.0	
1595	320.4	.70	.02	17	1.94	17.0	
1595	<del>320.6</del> 322	.70	.02	17	1.93	17.0	
1595	322	.70	.02	17	1.93	17.1	
1595	321.8	.70	.02	17	1.92	17.0	

SAMPLE:  
 3.5  
 Air Rest.  
 Less than  
 3  
 H<sub>2</sub>O Temp  
 170 °F  
 ✓

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test: Elb. 10:58 AM

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Technicians:

\_\_\_\_\_



CARBON MASS BALANCE FIELD DATA FORM

Company: Magna Location: Pinto Valley Test Date: 4-14-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated:        Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches  
 Engine Make/Model: 16V1490T Miles/Hours: 3094 I.D.#: 32  
 Type of Equipment: Haul Pac - 190T

Fuel Specific Gravity: .838 @: 78.8 (°F)

Barometric Pressure:        inches of Mercury Start Time: 10:15 AM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
<del>1600</del>	<del>320.8</del>		<del>.02</del>	<del>14</del>	<del>1.80</del>	<del>17.5</del>	
1600	337.2	.60	.02	22	2.22	16.3	
1600	338.8	.60	.02	14	2.21	16.7	
1600	341.0	.60	.02	15	2.19	16.8	
<del>1600</del>	<del>342.8</del>	<del>.65</del>	<del>.02</del>	<del>17</del>	<del>2.19</del>	<del>16.7</del>	
1600	343.0	.65	.02	17	2.20	16.8	
1600	343.8	.65	.02	17	2.19	16.9	
1600	343.2	.65	.02	17	2.19	16.9	
1600	342.8	.65	.02	17	2.18	16.9	
1600	342.2	.65	.02	15	2.19	16.9	

SMOKE  
4.0  
FAN  
LOCKED  
ON  
AFTER 15:  
DATA POINT  
AIR FILTER  
LESS THAN  
5  
WATER TEMP  
170°

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test: FINISH 10:51 AM

Signature of Technicians:

Flinders, LeBaron Stewart

Company: MACMA Location: Pinto Valley Test Date: 5-5-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: \_\_\_\_\_ Treated:  Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 16V149 Miles/Hours: 3480 I.D.#: 32  
 Type of Equipment: HELLPAK

Air Temp.

Fuel Specific Gravity: .834 @: 87.8 (°F)

79.6 °F

Barometric Pressure: 29.86 inches of Mercury

Start Time: 8:48 AM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	329.6	.55	.02	15	2.12	16.8	
1600	331.2	.55	.02	15	2.13	16.7	
1600	332.2	.55	.02	15	2.14	16.8	
1600	333.8	.575	.01	14	2.14	16.7	
1600	334.2	.575	.01	14	2.12	16.5	
1600	334.6	.575	.01	15	2.13	16.6	
1600	334.8	.60	.01	14	2.12	16.6	
1600	335.4	.60	.01	15	2.12	16.7	
1600	336.6	.60	.02	15	2.14	16.7	
1600	336.8	.60	.02	15	2.12	16.6	

SAMPLE

3.5

Air Rest.

LESS THAN

5

H<sub>2</sub>O TEMP

170 °F

FAN LOADED

ON

✓

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

ENO: 9:05 AM

Signature of Technicians:

J. C. Funder

K. R. KEBMAN

.834



**Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form**

Company: Magma Location: Pink Valley Test Date: 4-14-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated:        Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 16V149DT Miles/Hours:        I.D.#: 39  
 Type of Equipment: Haul Pac - 190 T

Fuel Specific Gravity: .840 @: 78.0 (°F)

Barometric Pressure:        inches of Mercury Start Time: 9:25  
 Finish 9:41

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	317.6	1.25	.01	13	<del>1.91</del> 1.91	17.0	
1600	319.6	1.25	.01	13	<del>1.91</del> 1.91	17.0	
1600	321.2	1.25	.02	12	<del>1.90</del> 1.90	17.1	
1600	321.6	1.25	.01	12	<del>1.90</del> 1.90	17.0	
1600	322.2	1.25	.01	13	<del>1.91</del> 1.91	17.1	
1600	322.6	1.25	.01	13	<del>1.91</del> 1.91	17.1	
1600	323.2	1.25	.01	13	<del>1.90</del> 1.90	17.1	
1600	323.2	1.25	.01	13	<del>1.90</del> 1.90	17.1	
1600	323.0	1.25	.02	12	<del>1.90</del> 1.90	17.1	
1600	323.0	1.25	.01	12	<del>1.90</del> 1.90	17.0	

Smoke  
 3.5  
 Engine Temp.  
 165°  
 Filter  
 less than  
 7

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Flinders                      LeRaron

Signature of Technicians:

Stewart

**Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form**

Company: MAGNA Location: Pinto Valley Test Date: 5-5-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: \_\_\_\_\_ Treated: / Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

Engine Make/Model: 16V149 Miles/Hours: 9295 I.D.#: 39  
 Type of Equipment: HALLMARK 685E

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.837 @: 93.4 (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 29.56 inches of Mercury Start Time: 10:20 AM

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	326.4	1.05	.01	15	1.84	16.8	
1600	326.0	1.05	.01	15	1.83	16.8	
1600	325.0	1.05	.01	12	1.82	17.2	
1600	326.4	1.08	.01	12	1.81	17.2	
1600	326.6	1.05	.01	12	1.81	17.3	
1600	326.8	1.08	.01	13	1.84	17.2	
1600	327.8	<del>1.08</del> 1.03	.01	13	1.83	17.1	
1600	326.8	<del>1.08</del> 1.03	.01	13	1.83	17.1	
1600	325.8	<del>1.08</del> 1.03	.01	12	1.83	17.3	
1600	326.4	<del>1.08</del> 1.03	.01	12	1.84	17.3	

SMOKE:  
3  
  
Air Res.  
Less than  
8  
  
H<sub>2</sub>O Temp.  
170°F

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

CAG TO HUGHER RANGE MAGNETIC  
CAUTION OIL 10" H<sub>2</sub>O  
COLLEGE-READERS

Signature of Technicians:

501-932



# Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Magma Location: Pinto Valley Test Date: 4-14-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: X Treated: \_\_\_\_\_ Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 inches

Engine Make/Model: 160149DT Miles (Hours): 3053 I.D.#: 43  
 Type of Equipment: Haulpak - 190 T

Used  
bottom  
stack

Fuel Specific Gravity: .842 @: 75.2 (°F)

Barometric Pressure: \_\_\_\_\_ inches of Mercury Start Time: 8:32  
 Finish 8:45

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	300	<del>1.1</del>	.01	10	1.44	18.0	
1600	301.6	1.1	.01	10	1.44	18.0	
1600	302	1.15	.01	10	1.44	18.6	
1600	302	1.15	.01	10	1.46	17.9	
1600	302.4	1.15	.01	10	1.46	18.0	
1600	302.6	1.15	.01	10	1.46	18.6	
1600	303.2	1.15	.01	10	1.46	18.0	
1600	302.8	1.15	.01	10	1.46	17.9	
1600	303.4	1.15	.01	10	1.46	17.9	
1600	304.6	1.15	.01	10	1.46	17.8	

Smoke  
3.5

Eng.  
Temp.  
175°

Filter  
6 orles

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Flinders                      LeBaron

Signature of Technicians:

Stewart

# Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: MACHO Location: Pinkto Valley Test Date: 5-5-94  
 Test Portion: Baseline: \_\_\_\_\_ Treated: / Exhaust Stack Diameter: 10 Inches

*Air Temp*

Engine Make/Model: 160149 Miles/Hours: 3471 I.D.#: 43  
 Type of Equipment: HOLLPAK 685E

Fuel Specific Gravity: .832 @: \_\_\_\_\_ (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 29.83 inches of Mercury Start Time: 1:30 PM  
~~11:30 AM~~

RPM	Exhaust Temp °F	P Inches of H <sub>2</sub> O	% CO	HC ppm	% CO <sub>2</sub>	% O <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>
1600	299.6	1.05	.01	13	1.43	18.7	
1600	299.8	1.05	.01	12	1.42	17.8	
1600	299.6	1.05	.01	15	1.40	17.9	
1600	300.2	1.05	.01	15	1.40	17.8	
1600	300.0	1.05	.01	15	1.40	17.8	
1600	301.8	1.05	.01	17	1.39	17.7	
1600	301.8	1.05	.01	17	1.40	17.9	
1600	302.0	1.05	.01	10	1.40	18.0	
1600	301.4	1.05	.01	8	1.40	18.3	
1600	302.0	1.05	.01	8 <sup>10</sup>	1.41	17.8	

*Success*  
3.0  
*Air Temp*  
*Less than*  
8

*Air Temp*  
170°F



Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Technicians:

\_\_\_\_\_

*832 (T)*  
*842 (B)*